

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/656,812	09/08/2003	Wolfgang Danzer	038724.52699US	7094
23911 CROWFI I &	7590 01/09/2008 MORING LLP		EXAMINER	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP			ELVE, MARIA ALEXANDRA	
P.O. BOX 143	14300 TON, DC 20044-4300		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20047-4300		1793	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/656,812	DANZER, WOLFGANG			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	•	M. Alexandra Elve	1793			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
Period for	or Reply					
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAINS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 O	<u>ctober 2007</u> .				
,—	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,3,4,9,12 and 13 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,3,4,9,12 and 13 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 February 2004</u> is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecte drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) <u>□</u> a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
2) Notic	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F	ate			
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number:

10/656,812 Art Unit: 1793

DETAILED ACTION

Oath/Declaration

It does not identify the foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate on which priority is claimed pursuant to 37 CFR 1.55, and any foreign application having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed, by specifying the application number, country, day, month and year of its filing.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 & 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Herrmann (USPAP 2004/0094522).

Herrmann discloses:

A process gas for use in laser welding with a laser beam focused onto the work piece to be welded. The inventive process gas comprises helium, nitrogen, and at least one of carbon dioxide in an amount of up to 40% by volume, and oxygen in an amount of up to 30% by volume. The gas may comprise not more than 85% by volume of helium. Also disclosed are methods of laser welding using the inventive process gas. The invention is suitable for laser welding of low-alloy steels and coated, especially zinc-coated steels.

In laser welding it is known to use inert protective gases, like helium or **argon**. Even nitrogen is used to some degree. Now and then carbon dioxide, oxygen or hydrogen are also mixed in with argon or nitrogen.

Application/Control Number:

10/656,812 Art Unit: 1793

Herrmann does not disclose the exact amount as instant claims; however, the prior art compositions closely approximate or overlap applicant's claimed composition. It has been held that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have considered the claimed compositions to have been obvious because close approximation or overlapping ranges in a composition is considered a prima facie case of obviousness. See In re Malagari, 182 USPQ 549, Titanium Metals v. Banner 227 USPQ 773, In re Nehrenberg 126 USPQ 383.

Claims 3 & 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Herrmann, as stated above and further in view of Goto (JP 410296472).

Herrmann does not teach a carbon dioxide volume of 45 to 85% and 55 to 80%.

Goto discloses laser welding of an aluminum tank having a gaseous shield of carbon dioxide and oxygen. The carbon dioxide ranges from 10% to 62%.

It would have been obvious to use the carbon dioxide amounts as taught by Goto in the Herrmann process because it is merely a variation of the gaseous shielding environment.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the carbon dioxide levels as taught by Goto in the Herrmann system because both are directed to welding using a shielding environment.

Application/Control Number:

10/656,812 Art Unit: 1793

Claims 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Herrmann and Goto, as stated above, and further in view of Mori et al. (USPN 6,399,915).

Herrmann and Goto do not teach the wavelength of the laser. Mori et al. discloses a laser welding unit, which has a wavelength of 500 to 1064 nm. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a laser wavelength, as taught by Mori et al. system because this is merely a standard laser parameter.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Alexandra Elve whose telephone number is 571-272-1173. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-4:00 Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jonathan Johnson can be reached on 571-272-1177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/656,812

Art Unit: 1793

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

January 6, 2008.

/M. Alexandra Elve/ M. Alexandra Elve Primary Examiner 1793